

Fra: hrin [mailto:heiner.rindermann@[psychologie.tu-chemnitz.de](mailto:heiner.rindermann@psychologie.tu-chemnitz.de)]
Sendt: 13. november 2013 21:10
Til: Helmuth Nyborg
Emne: Danish case

Dear Helmuth,
(and via BCC to the other mentioned persons)

Thanks for informing me about the three-man-group pursuing you.

I looked a little bit in the Internet.

This page, www.eugenik.dk, which, as you described, presents negative material about you and other intelligence researchers, is empty ("The web server software is running but no content has been added, yet.").

The first pursuer, Jens Mammen, has, according to Scopus, never published anything; h=0.

The second pursuer, Jens Kvorning, has published in his life three papers, the last one 2009, all never cited; h=0.

The third pursuer, Morten Kjeldgaard, was more diligent, 32 papers, h=9, however, since 2003 or 2008 nothing.

These guys seem to be so over-worked in dealing with your person that they have found no time for productive research.

This can be rated as an ethical problem for you, harming Danish science by preoccupying colleagues with you.

However, occupying people with you (reading your papers etc.) could be also seen as a more sensible job for such persons than doing research, because what result could we expect from such persons, if they would invest their time in research?

Thus, I would recommend being quite relaxed.

Having such enemies is even slightly embarrassing.

You deserve stronger opponents.

And the Wikipedia page about you is quite friendly.

Maybe develop a homepage and write against them.

And of course, if there is any justified critique, integrate it to your future research.

I did not find on the PaID page what was mentioned at your Wiki: "When Nyborg learned that this was a problem, he sent an addendum to the journal, which is common practice when errors or omissions are found in published papers."

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Helmuth_Nyborg#2005_controversial_paper

And I would say that there are two other possibilities of improvement, you could have stated more something like this:

"taken past development and extrapolate it to the future", "according to our assumptions", "not considering self-directing and self-correcting processes in society and politics" ...

And, as Michael once mentioned:
Darwinian selection is still working (however, in a direction not rated as being good).

Take it relaxed.

Best,
Heiner

--

Prof. Dr. Heiner Rindermann
Professor f. Pädagogische u. Entwicklungspsychologie TU Chemnitz, Institut f.
Psychologie, Wilhelm-Raabe-Str. 43
D-09107 Chemnitz (Deutschland, Germany)
heiner.rindermann@psychologie.tu-chemnitz.de, www.tu-chemnitz.de/~hrin TI.
+49(0)371/53138715, Fax +49(0)371/531838715 Professor for Educational and
Developmental Psychology